| From:    | Hayman and King <hayman_and_king@hotmail.com></hayman_and_king@hotmail.com> |
|----------|---|
| Sent:    | Thursday, 3 June 2021 4:42 PM   |
| То:      | Enquiries   |
| Subject: | Planning; re. MCU 2020 3711, 71-85 Port Douglas Rd.                         |

To whom it may concern, etc.

Given the opportunity to comment on the proposal above I would like to make known my opinion, as a resident, ratepayer and business operator in Port Douglas since the early Nineties.

Notwithstanding subjective aesthetic questions, my family feel this is not appropriate for the obvious issue of height - and there is no compelling reason for DSC to further give ground on something that is the town's principal point of difference from it's competition.

I believe Juniper were able to exploit the diagonal fall over the site at 56 Macrossan St to get away with the Coconut Grove edifice, to our built environment's detriment.

This proposal however, is for a freestanding pile upon level ground across which millions of people have quietly enjoyed sight lines at sunset, and cannot be allowed as such.

I am fortunate in working as a driver to have a few hours conversing with a wide range of tourists every day for several years now.

This advises my concern that DSC may be underestimating how important the legacy of Port Douglas's height constraint is to the perception of a 'village', unique in it's human scale.

A cost benefit analysis that could take into account what I consistently hear of the impressions people form about Port Douglas would be a deal breaker.

I am sure a backwards step on this matter will most certainly impact on our golden egg laying goose - to no-one's benefit.

Yours,

Steven DS King